

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/492,763	_01/27/2000	Eiko Masatsuji	Q54487	13,43
. 75	90 01/28/2004	EXAMINER ,		
Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC 2100 Pennslyvania Ave N W Washington, DC 20037-3213			KIM, VICKIE Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
.	5 /		1614	
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004	23

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A Parking Na				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/492,763	MASATSUJI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
TO ANNUAL DATE of this communication	Vickie Kim	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r she t with the correspond nce address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi II apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	.•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This a	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	lrawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	have been received. have been received in a ty documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If the certified copies not priority under 35 U.S.C t sentence of the specific visional application has to priority under 35 U.S.C	Application No In received in this National Stage It received. It is \$ 119(e) (to a provisional application) cation or in an Application Data Sheet. It is seen received. It is seen received. It is seen received.			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .			

Application/Control Number: 09/492,763

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al(EP884321).

The claims are drawn to a dermnal agent comprising a therapeutically effective amount of a compound which is represented by the formula 3(as shown in instant claim 1).

Suzuki et al(EP'321, hereafter) teach a cosmetic or medical preparations that contains L-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate zinc salt as an active ingredients, see examples 3-4 at page 6. Thus, all the critical elements required by the instant claims are taught by the cited reference.

EP'321 also teaches about the antimicrobial effect and excellent stability accompanied by the active agent(i.e. L-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate zinc salt), see test examples 1-2, at page 5. EP'321 further teaches the intended use of the composition as antidandruff or antiseptic agent due to the said antimicrobial activity and the liberation of the active species(i.e. L-ascorbic acid) in vivo, see page 2, lines 28 and page 3, lines 1-3. Regardless of the said teachings such as liberation of active species(i.e. L-ascorbic acid) or antimicrobial activity, it is noted that all the limitations

Application/Control Number: 09/492,763

Art Unit: 1614

reference.

recited in the claims 2-6 are met inherently by the composition taught in the cited

Applicant is reminded again that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

For instance, EP'321 utilizes that the cosmetic or medical preparation(topical formulation) containing a therapeutically effective amount of same active agent(i.e. L-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate zinc salt). Whether the prior art mentions specifically about the antimicrobial effect against specific organisms, the said preparation would have been possessed the same antimicrobial activity when it is used topically into the skin.

Applicant is reminded that the claims are drawn to the composition and all the structural requirement is taught by the cited reference. Thus, the claims are met and not patentably distinct over the prior art of the record.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vickie Kim whose telephone number is 703-305-1675. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel

Page 4

can be reached on 703-308-4725. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-3165 for regular communications and 703-746-3165 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Vickie Kim,

Primary Patent Examiner

Art unit 1614